Michigan Deptartment of Treasury 495 (2-04)

Local Gow	STITLE TOWN	Vert III	Village	Other	Local Government Name Muskegon County Road Com	mission	Mu	ky skegon
Augit Date 9/30/05			12/14		Date Accountant Report Sinh 12/21/05	meted to State:	Lucai	and discount in
accordan	ce with the Statement	e St	atements of	the Govern	local unit of government and renders mental Accounting Standards Board s of Government in Michigan by the Mi	(GASB) and th	e Uniforn	n Reporting Format
t. We i	save comp	lied w	ith the Bullet	tin for the A	udits of Local Units of Government in M	Michigan as revisi	∌d	
2. We :	are certified	d pub	lic accountan	its registere	d to practice in Michigan.			
	er affirm th is and reco		The property of the contract o	responses I	have been disclosed in the financial sta	atements, includi	ng the note	es, or in the report of
ou must	check the	appli	cable box for	each item t	below.			
Yes	V No	1	Certain comp	ponent units	flunds/agencies of the local unit are ex	cluded from the	financial s	statements.
Yes	₽ No		There are as 275 of 1980)		deficits in one or more of this units of	unreserved fund	balances/i	retained earnings (P.
Yes	☐ No		There are in amended)	stances of	non-compliance with the Uniform Ac	counting and Bu	dgeling A	ct (P.A. 2 of 1968,
Yes	No.				ated the conditions of either an orde or issued under the Emergency Municip		the Munic	pal Finance Act or
Yes	₩ No				posits/investments which do not comp nt], or P.A. 55 of 1982, as amended [M		/equirem	ents. (P.A. 20 of 194
Yes	₹ No	6	The local uni	t has been i	delinquent in distributing tax revenues	that were collect	ed for anot	her taxing unit.
Ves	☑ No	1	pension ben	ents (norma	ted the Constitutional requirement (A if costs) in the current year, if the plai e normal cost requirement, no contribu	n is more than 1	uus tuna	ed and the overtunds
Yes	✓ No		The local un (MCL 129:24		dit cards and has not adopted an ap	oplicable policy a	as require	d by PA 266 of 199
Yes	₽ No	9.	The local uni	t has not ad	lopted an investment policy as required	1 by P.A. 196 of	1997 (MCL	129.96).
We have	enclosed	the	following:			Enclosed	To E Forwa	
The lette	r of comm	ents	and recomme	endations.				~
Reports	an individu	al fer	teral financia	assistance	programs (program audits)			~
Single A	udit Repor	ts (AS	stgu).			~		
Certified P	uolic Account	100.000	NATION OF THE					
Brickle	A nerou	240						

Muskegon County Road Commission

REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(with required supplementary information)

Year ended September 30, 2005

Muskegon County Road Commission

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis	i - vii
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Basic Financial Statements	
Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets	3
Statement of Activities	4
Fund Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet	5
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds	
to the Statement of Net Assets	6
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes	
in Fund Balance	7
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures	
and Changes in Fund Balance of the Governmental Fund	
to the Statement of Activities	8
Notes to Financial Statements	9
Required Supplementary Information	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule—General Operations Fund	
Schedule of Revenues	21
Schedule of Expenditures	
•	
Other Supplemental Information	
General Operations Fund	2.4
Analysis of Changes in Fund Balance	
Analysis of Revenues	
AUMINSIS OF EXECUTIONS	/11

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our discussion and analysis of the Muskegon County Road Commission's financial performance provides an overview of the Road Commission's financial activities for the year ended September 30, 2005. This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the Road Commission and present a long-term view of the Road Commission's finances. Fund financial statements tell how these services were financed in the short-term, as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the Road Commission's operations in more detail than the government-wide financial statements.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This annual report consists of four parts – management's discussion and analysis (this section), the basic financial statements, required supplemental information, and an additional section that presents the operating fund broken down between primary, local and county funds. The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements, government-wide statements and fund statements.

REPORTING THE ROAD COMMISSION AS A WHOLE

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities report information about the Road Commission as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps answer the question of whether the Road Commission, as a whole, is better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities. The Statement of Net Assets includes all of the Road Commission's assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting method used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the Statement of Activities regardless of when cash is received or paid.

The two statements mentioned above, report the Road Commission's net assets and how they changed. The reader can think of the Road Commission's net assets (the difference between assets and liabilities) as one way to measure the Road Commission's financial health or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the Road Commission's net assets are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. To assess the overall health of the Road Commission, additional nonfinancial factors such as changes in the condition of the Road Commission's roads and changes in the law related to gas taxes and their distribution need to be considered.

Fund Financial Statements

The Road Commission currently has only one fund, the General Operations Fund. All of the Road Commission's activities are accounted for in this fund. The General Operations Fund is a governmental fund type.

Governmental funds focus on how money flows into and out of this fund and the balances left at year end that are available for spending. This fund is reported using an accounting method called modified accrual accounting, which measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the Road Commission's general governmental operations and the basic service it provides. Governmental fund information helps the reader to determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Road Commission's services. We describe the relationship (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities) and the governmental fund in a reconciliation following the fund financial statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE ROAD COMMISSION AS A WHOLE

After a restatement of \$29,323,062 for retroactive infrastructure, the Road Commission's net assets increased approximately 3% from \$57,891,303 to \$59,615,743 for the year ended September 30, 2005. The net assets and change in net assets are summarized below.

Net Assets

Restricted net assets are those net assets that have constraints: a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Enabling legislation authorizes the government to assess, levy, charge or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a legally enforceable requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purpose stipulated in the legislation. As such, all assets (except for assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt) are considered restricted.

The restricted net assets decreased by \$1,428,981 during 2005. The primary reason for the decrease was that there was insufficient financing from other sources to accomplish the scope of planned projects. Therefore the Road Commission decided to use a portion of our net assets.

Effective October 1, 2004, the Road Commission restated its financial statements to record infrastructure acquired or constructed prior to October 1, 2000, as required by GASB Statement No. 34. This restatement increased the invested in capital assets, net of related debt portion of net assets by \$29,323,062. After this restatement, the investment in capital assets, net of related debt increased by \$3,153,421. The increase is primarily the result of the reporting of infrastructure additions for the current year.

Net assets are as follows:

Assets		2005		2004
Current and other assets	\$	10,124,183	\$	10,858,167
Capital assets		54,043,124		21,629,081
Total assets		64,167,307		32,487,248
Liabilities				
Long-term liabilities outstanding		2,102,621		2,297,503
Other liabilities		2,448,943		1,621,504
Total liabilities	_	4,551,564	_	3,919,007
Net assets				
Invested in capital assets,				
net of related debt		54,105,564		21,629,081
Restricted	_	5,510,179		6,939,160
Total net assets	\$	59,615,743	\$	28,568,241

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Change in Net Assets

- Federal Aid The amount of funding received from federal sources fluctuates from year to year based on the type of projects that the Road Commission qualifies for. As with all funding sources, the needs far exceed the resources available. Therefore funding is awarded based on the greatest need and the organization's ability to provide the matching funds.
- State Aid Two factors contributed to the reduction in state aid during 2005. First, as projected the Road Commission's MTF revenue declined due to the tapering off of the effects of licensing fee changes that were implemented in 2003/2004. Also, in 2004 the Road Commission received a one time payment for the jurisdictional transfer of a portion of old US 31.
- Contributions from Local Units The Road Commission partners with its townships to upgrade the local road system. The amount and scope of these projects from year to year are determined by the townships' ability to provide matching funds and the Road Commission's budget committed to participation projects.
- Interest Income This increase is a result of the steadily climbing interest rates.
- **Primary Roads Expense** Part of the Road Commission's long-term efforts to maximize the use of our funds is to pursue an aggressive preventative maintenance program. To that end in 2005 the Road Commission chip sealed 73.5 miles for a cost of \$1.3 million. In addition there was a significant increase in depreciation expense in 2005 due to booking the retroactive infrastructure in compliance with GASB 34.
- Local Roads Expenses Booking the retroactive infrastructure also significantly impacted depreciation expense for local roads.

A summary of changes in net assets are as follows:

		2005		2004
Revenues	_		_	
Program revenues				
Licenses and permits	\$	60,350	\$	45,960
Federal aid		1,476,073		1,739,452
State aid		9,953,836		10,534,263
Contributions from local units		395,751		266,885
Charges for services		2,059,004		2,189,771
Interest income		162,712		57,319
General revenues				
Property rentals		6,900		6,900
Gain on disposal of capital assets		23,682		82,592
Other		18,159		30,021
Total revenues	_	14,156,467	_	14,953,163

Management's Discussion and Analysis

		2005		2004
Expenses				
Primary roads	\$	5,044,225	\$	3,247,733
Local roads		4,621,218		3,325,473
State trunkline		1,826,519		1,964,548
Net administrative		521,139		510,268
Reimbursable services		360,957		281,748
Interest on long-term debt		57,969		23,000
Total expenses	_	12,432,027	_	9,352,770
Change in net assets		1,724,440		5,600,393
Net assets at beginning of year		28,568,241		22,967,848
Restatement at October 1	_	29,323,062	_	
Net assets at end of year	\$_	59,615,743	\$_	28,568,241

The Road Commission's Fund

The Road Commission's General Operations Fund is used to control the expenditures of Michigan Transportation Fund monies distributed to the county, which are earmarked by law for road and highway purposes.

For the year ended September 30, 2005, the fund balance of the General Operations Fund decreased \$1,569,833, as compared to an increase of \$3,775,394 in the fund balance for the previous year. Total operating revenues were \$14,156,467, a decrease of \$796,696 as compared to last year. The change in revenues resulted substantially from a reduction in federal and state aid. Total expenditures were \$15,726,300, an increase of \$2,533,059 as compared to last year. This change in expenditures is due primarily to the significant amount of funds allocated to chip seal preventative maintenance operations.

A summary of changes in the General Operations Fund are as follows:

		2005		2004
Revenues	_		_	
Licenses and permits	\$	60,350	\$	45,960
Federal aid		1,476,073		1,739,452
State aid		9,953,836		10,534,263
Contributions from local units		395,751		266,885
Charges for services		2,059,004		2,189,771
Interest income		162,712		57,319
Other revenue		48,741		119,513
Total revenues	_	14,156,467		14,953,163

Management's Discussion and Analysis

	2005	2004
Expenditures		
Public works	14,927,575	13,336,458
Capital outlay (net)	525,943	(143,217)
Debt service	272,782	-
Total expenses	15,726,300	13,193,241
Excess of revenues over (under) expenditures	(1,569,833)	1,759,922
Other financing sources		
Bonds issued	-	2,000,000
Premium on bonds	<u> </u>	15,472
Total other financing sources		2,015,472
Excess of revenues and other financing sources over (under)		
expenditures	(1,569,833)	3,775,394
Fund balance at beginning of year	9,223,760	5,448,366
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 7,653,927	9,223,760

BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

Prior to the beginning of any year, the Road Commission's budget is compiled based upon certain assumptions and facts available at that time. During the year, the Road Commission board acts to amend its budget to reflect changes in these original assumptions, facts and/or economic conditions that were unknown at the time the original budget was compiled. In addition, by policy, the board reviews and authorizes large expenditure requests throughout the year.

The final amended revenues budget for the year ended September 30, 2005 was \$288,508 higher than the original budget primarily due to higher than originally budgeted MTF revenue. These revenues are conservatively forecasted as many factors, locally and globally, effect the motoring public's consumption of fuel and vehicle purchases and thus impact the revenues generated by fuel and license sales. The actual revenues recognized for the year was greater than the final amended budget by \$65,577. Two months of MTF revenues are projected when preparing our final budget adjustments and for the two months combined, the Road Commission received \$39,297 more than estimated. Actual state trunkline nonmaintenance revenues were \$28,748 higher than budgeted due to several small projects awarded and subsequently completed late in September.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

The final amended expenditures budget for the year ended September 30, 2005 was \$130,901 lower than the original budget primarily due to carrying over the purchase of the new fueling system to the 2005/2006 fiscal year. The actual expenditures recognized for the year were less than the final amended budget by \$293,699. Total actual primary road expenditures were \$8,616,739 as compared to a budget of \$8,644,269 with a net affect of a favorable budget variance of \$27,530. A reclassification of bridge painting expenses from heavy maintenance to routine maintenance accounted for the line item variances within this category. The total local road expenditures were \$3,984,438 as compared to a budget of \$4,000,341 with a net effect of a favorable budget variance of \$15,903. When the budget adjustments are prepared, certain assumptions are made about the status of project costs and revenues through the end of the fiscal year. These projections are affected by factors such as weather conditions (favorable or adverse), material availability and various conditions beyond our control or planning. In this case, the resources that were not used in heavy maintenance were reallocated to routine maintenance. This reallocation resulted in budget variances between these two line items but combined did not cause an unfavorable effect on the total budget for local roads.

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

As of September 30, 2005, the Road Commission had \$54,043,124 invested in capital assets. After recording retroactive infrastructure of \$29,323,062 at the beginning of the year, this amount represents a net increase (including additions and deductions) of \$3,090,981 or 6% as follows:

	_	2005		2004
Capital assets not being depreciated				_
Land and improvements	\$	18,821,714	\$	17,705,619
Construction in progress	_	339,096		2,557,506
Total capital assets not being depreciated		19,160,810		20,263,125
Capital assets being depreciated				
Buildings and improvements		3,896,421		3,890,436
Road equipment		8,428,804		8,242,929
Other equipment		2,900,363		2,142,705
Infrastructure and improvements	_	55,099,552	_	49,104,371
Total capital assets being depreciated		70,325,140		63,380,441
Less accumulated depreciation		(35,442,826)		(32,691,423)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	_	34,882,314	-	30,689,018
Total capital assets, net	\$_	54,043,124	\$_	50,952,143
Current year's major additions included the following:				
Construction of salt shed			\$	795,022
Bridge repairs				215,772
Various reconstruction/resurfacing projects				4,793,121
Trucks/equipment				484,299

Additional information on capital assets can be found in note D of the notes to the financial statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Debt

As of September 30, 2005, the Road Commission had \$2,102,621 in long-term debt outstanding. This is a decrease of \$194,882 which is due to scheduled normal debt repayments. The long-term debt outstanding is comprised of Michigan Transportation Fund bonds of \$1,812,659 and compensated absences of \$289,962.

Additional information on long-term debt can be found in note F of the notes to the financial statements.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET

Each year, the Road Commission's board considers many factors when reviewing and approving our budget. The Road Commission's mission is to continue our commitment to preserving and rehabilitating the existing road system. This is a daunting proposition given the condition of the aging public road system and the reality of declining revenues while at the same time experiencing ever increasing operating costs and demands for service. To accomplish the plans set forth in the 2005/2006 budget the Commission will once again be forced to use a portion of our fund balance. Without significant increases in revenue from Federal, State and local sources, our ability to preserve, maintain and reconstruct the public road system will be severely limited in future budgets and the level of effort that has been seen through the current budget will be severely curtailed.

As noted last year, the slow growing economy does not seem to have impeded development and growth within a number of our local townships. Business and residential growth continues to further strain the Commission's budget as there are continuing demands for access to open areas and new subdivisions. Given the projected decline in revenue sources and the minimal increases per mile in Michigan Transportation Funds (MTF), we continue to see no reason for expansion of the existing system.

The recent fluctuations in fuel costs caused by hurricanes in the last 4 months affects the Commission in two ways. The increasing costs at the pump impacted the Road Commission with both lower revenue from MTF as motorists drive fewer miles and the additional cost to operate our fleet. While the Road Commission is exempt from the fixed amount of tax on the fuel, its costs rise at the same percentage as the public's when pump prices increase. In addition, the Road Commission's maintenance responsibility for the public highway system under Act 51 do not allow for reduced fuel usage.

The board realizes, and the reader should understand, that there are not sufficient funds available to repair and/or rebuild every road in Muskegon County's transportation system at the end of its useful life. Therefore, the board attempts to spend the public's money wisely and equitably throughout the county in the best interests of the motoring public and the citizens of Muskegon County.

CONTACTING THE ROAD COMMISSION'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide the motoring public, citizens and other interested parties a general overview of the Road Commission's finances and to show the Road Commission's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Finance Department at the Muskegon County Road Commission administrative offices at 7700 East Apple Avenue, Muskegon, Michigan 49442.



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

December 14, 2005

Board of County Road Commissioners Muskegon County Road Commission Muskegon, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Muskegon County Road Commission, a component unit of the County of Muskegon, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2005, which collectively comprise the Road Commission's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Road Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Muskegon County Road Commission, as of September 30, 2005, and the changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 14, 2005, on our consideration of the Muskegon County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

BRICKLEY DELONG

Board of County Road Commissioners December 14, 2005 Page 2

Brukley Ve Long, PLC

The management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages i through vii and 21 and 22 are not a required part of the basic financial statement but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Muskegon County Road Commission's basic financial statements. The accompanying supplemental financial information listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supplemental schedules have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Muskegon County Road Commission STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS September 30, 2005

ASSETS

ADDETO	
CURRENT ASSETS	
Cash and investments	\$ 4,665,071
Accounts receivable	157.000
State trunkline maintenance	157,938
State Department of Transportation Michigan Transportation Fund	1,592,112
Other	253,026
Due on county road agreements	316,696
Other	94,580
Inventories	. ,,
Road materials	429,445
Equipment materials and parts	488,277
Prepaid items	219,626_
Total current assets	8,216,771
NONCURRENT ASSETS	
Restricted assets	1,875,099
Capital assets, net	
Nondepreciable	19,160,810
Depreciable	34,882,314
Bond issue costs, net	32,313
Total noncurrent assets	55,950,536
Total assets	64,167,307
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	
CURRENT LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	1,495,715
Accrued liabilities	73,975
Due to other governmental units	5,741
Advances - state trunkline	
Equipment purchase	254,069
Maintenance	157,263
Deferred revenue - forest roads Bonds payable - due within one year	462,180 200,000
Compensated absences - due within one year	190,000
Total current liabilities	2,838,943
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	
Bonds payable - less amounts due within one year	1,612,659
Compensated absences - less amounts due within one year	99,962
Total noncurrent liabilities	1,712,621
Total liabilities	4,551,564
NET ASSETS	
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	54,105,564
Restricted for county roads	5,510,179
Total net assets	\$ 59,615,743

Muskegon County Road Commission STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

For the year ended September 30, 2005

Program expenses		
Primary road	\$	5,044,225
Local road		4,621,218
State trunkline		1,826,519
Net administrative Reimbursable services		521,139
Interest on long-term debt		360,957 57,969
-	-	
Total program expenses		12,432,027
Program revenues		
Charges for services		
Licenses and permits		60,350
Charges for services		2,059,004
Operating grants and contributions		
Michigan Transportation Funds		9,538,324
Interest income		162,712
Capital grants and contributions		
Federal grants		1,476,073
State grants		415,512
Contributions from local units	_	395,751
Total program revenues	_	14,107,726
Net program revenues		1,675,699
General revenues		
Property rentals		6,900
Gain on disposal of capital assets		23,682
Other	_	18,159
Total general revenues	_	48,741
Change in net assets		1,724,440
Net assets at October 1, 2004	_	57,891,303
Net assets at September 30, 2005	\$_	59,615,743

Muskegon County Road Commission BALANCE SHEET

September 30, 2005

General

		Operations
ASSETS	-	Fund
Cash and investments	\$	4,665,071
Receivables		
State trunkline maintenance		157,938
State Department of Transportation		
Michigan Transportation Fund		1,592,112
Other		253,026
Due on county road agreements		316,696
Other		94,580
Inventories		
Road materials		429,445
Equipment materials and parts		488,277
Prepaid items		219,626
Restricted assets		1,875,099
Total assets	\$ <u></u>	10,091,870
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$	1,495,715
Accrued liabilities		62,975
Due to other governmental units		5,741
Advances - state trunkline		
Equipment purchase		254,069
Maintenance		157,263
Deferred revenue - forest roads	_	462,180
Total liabilities		2,437,943
Fund balance		
Reserved for:		
Inventories		917,722
Prepaid items		219,626
Bridge construction		1,875,099
Unreserved		
Designated		1,073,897
Undesignated	-	3,567,583
Total fund balance	<u>-</u>	7,653,927
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$_	10,091,870

Muskegon County Road Commission RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

TO THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

September 30, 2005

Total fund balance—governmental funds		\$	7,653,927
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:			
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and are not reported in the governmental funds.			
Cost of capital assets	\$ 89,485,950		
Accumulated depreciation	(35,442,826)		54,043,124
Bond issuance costs are not capitalized and amortized in the governmental funds.			
Bond issuance costs	35,903		
Accumulated amortization	(3,590)		32,313
Long-term liabilities in governmental activities are not due and payable in the current			
period and are not reported in the governmental funds.			
Bonds payable	(1,800,000)		
Unamortized bond premium	(12,659)		
Compensated absences	(289,962)		(2,102,621)
Accrued interest in governmental activities is not reported in the governmental funds.		_	(11,000)
Net assets of governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets		\$_	59,615,743

Muskegon County Road Commission STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the year ended September 30, 2005

	General Operations Fund
REVENUES	
Licenses and permits	\$ 60,350
Federal aid	1,476,073
State aid	9,953,836
Contributions from local units	395,751
Charges for services	2,059,004
Interest income	162,712
Other revenue	48,741
Total revenues	14,156,467
EXPENDITURES	
Public works	14,927,575
Capital outlay (net)	525,943
Debt service	272,782
Total expenditures	15,726,300
Net change in fund balance	(1,569,833)
Fund balance at October 1, 2004	9,223,760
Fund balance at September 30, 2005	\$7,653,927

Muskegon County Road Commission RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

For the year ended September 30, 2005

Net change in fund balance—total governmental funds:	\$ (1,569,833)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:	
Governmental funds report outlays for capital assets and bond issuance costs as expenditures; in the Statement of Activities, these costs are depreciated and amortized over their estimated useful lives.	
Depreciation and amortization expense \$ (3,109,476) Capital outlay 6,197,066	3,087,590
Governmental funds only report the disposal of assets to the extent proceeds are received	
from the sale. In the statement of activities, a gain or loss is reported for each disposal.	
Gain on sale of capital assets Net proceeds from sale of capital assets 23,682 (23,881)	(199)
Repayment of principal on long-term debt is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but	
the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Assets.	
Repayment of principal on long-term debt 200,000	
Amortization of bond premium 2,813	202,813
Accrued interest on long-term debt is recorded in the Statement of Activities	
when incurred, but is not reported in the governmental funds until paid.	12,000
Compensated absences are reported on the accrual method in the Statement of Activities	
and reported as expenditures when financial resources are used in the governmental funds.	 (7,931)
Change in net assets of governmental activities	\$ 1,724,440

Muskegon County Road Commission NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

September 30, 2005

NOTE A—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Muskegon County Road Commission (Road Commission) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the Road Commission's accounting policies are described below.

The Road Commission implemented GASB Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments*, as amended by GASB Statement No. 37 and No. 38 on October 1, 2000. A significant change required by these statements was the inclusion of the Road Commission's infrastructure on new full accrual government-wide financial statements. These statements require the Road Commission to record infrastructure acquired or constructed prior to October 1, 2000 within four years of implementing the statements. Effective October 1, 2004, the Road Commission recorded this infrastructure.

The beginning net assets restated for the effects of prior year infrastructure are as follows:

Net assets at October 1, 2004	\$ 28,568,241
Infrastructure acquired or constructed prior to October 1, 2000, net	29,323,062
Restated net assets at October 1, 2004	\$57,891,303

1. Reporting Entity

The Muskegon County Road Commission (Road Commission), which is established pursuant to the County Road Law (MCL 224.1), is governed by a three-member Board of County Commissioners appointed by the Muskegon County Board of Commissioners. The Road Commission may not issue debt without the County's approval and property tax levies are subject to the Muskegon County Board of Commissioners' approval.

The criteria established by the GASB Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, for determining the reporting entity includes oversight responsibility, fiscal dependency and whether the financial statements would be misleading if the component unit data were not included. Based on the above criteria, these financial statements present the Muskegon County Road Commission, a discretely presented component unit of the County of Muskegon. No organizations meet this criteria for the Road Commission and therefore none are included in the financial statements.

The Road Commission General Operations Fund is used to control the expenditures of Michigan Transportation Fund monies distributed to the County, which are earmarked by law for street and highway purposes. The Board of County Road Commissioners is responsible for the administration of the Road Commission's General Operations Fund.

September 30, 2005

NOTE A—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES—Continued

2. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) report information on all of the activities of the Road Commission. There are only governmental activities reported in the government-wide financial statements.

The Statement of Net Assets presents the Road Commission's assets and liabilities with the difference being reported as either invested in capital assets, net of related debt, or restricted net assets.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for the General Operations Fund (governmental fund). The General Operations Fund is an independent fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting segregates funds according to their intended purpose and is used to aid management in demonstrating compliance with finance-related legal and contractual provisions.

3. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Michigan transportation funds, grants, permits, township contributions and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenue of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be available only when cash is received by the government.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in the government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Government Accounting Standards Board.

Amounts reported as programs revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions and 3) capital grants and contributions, including special assessments. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available, it is the Road Commission's policy to use restricted resources first.

September 30, 2005

NOTE A—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES—Continued

4. Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets or Equity

a. Deposits and Investments

Cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

The Road Commission reports its investments in accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools. Under this standard, certain investments are valued at fair value as determined by quoted market prices or by estimated fair values when quoted market prices are not available. The standard also provides that certain investments are valued at cost (or amortized cost) when they are of a short-term duration, the rate of return is fixed, and the Road Commission intends to hold the investment until maturity.

The Road Commission has adopted an investment policy in compliance with State of Michigan statutes. Those statutes authorize the Road Commission to invest in obligations of the United States, certificates of deposit, prime commercial paper, securities guaranteed by United States agencies or instrumentalities, United States government or federal agency obligation repurchase agreements, bankers acceptances, state-approved investment pools and certain mutual funds.

b. Inventories and Prepaid Items

Inventories are priced at cost as determined on the average unit cost method. Inventory items are charged to road construction and maintenance, equipment repairs and operations as used. Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future fiscal years and are recorded as prepaid items in both the government-wide and fund financial statements.

c. Restricted Assets

Proceeds of the Road Commission's Michigan Transportation Fund Notes, Series 2004 are restricted for the construction of bridges. These amounts have been classified as restricted assets.

d. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges and similar items), are reported in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the Road Commission as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$1,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of two years. Schedule C equipment has no minimum cost. However, such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost of purchase or construction. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

Depreciation is computed on the sum-of-the-years'-digits method for road equipment and straight-line method for all other assets. The depreciation rates are designed to amortize the cost of the assets over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Building	25 - 50 years
Road equipment	5 - 8 years
Other equipment	4 - 20 years
Infrastructure - roads	8 - 30 years
Infrastructure - bridges	12 - 50 years

September 30, 2005

NOTE A—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES—Continued

4. Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets or Equity—Continued

e. Compensated Absences

Road Commission employees are granted vacation and sick leave in varying amounts based on length of service. The Road Commission accrues unused portions of vacation pay in the period the fund liability is incurred. The liability is based on the sick leave accumulated at year end by those employees who are currently eligible to receive termination payments as well as other employees who are expected to become eligible to receive such payments. The liability for these compensated absences is recorded as long-term debt in the government-wide statements. In the fund financial statements, a liability for these amounts is reported in the governmental fund only for employee terminations as of year end.

f. Long-Term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities. Bond premiums and discounts as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized over the term of the related debt.

In the fund financial statements, the governmental fund type recognizes bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

g. Fund Equity

In the fund financial statements, the governmental fund reports reservations of fund balance for amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose. Designations of fund balance represent tentative management plans that are subject to change.

h. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures.

NOTE B—STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

1. Budgetary Information

Budgetary procedures are established pursuant to Act 621 PA 1978, as amended, (MCL 141.421) which requires the commissioners to approve a budget for the General Operations Fund. Pursuant to the Act, the Road Commission follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary information provided in the financial statements:

a. The director of financial services submits to the Board of Commissioners, a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing the following October 1. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.

September 30, 2005

NOTE B—STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY—Continued

1. Budgetary Information—Continued

- b. A public hearing is conducted at the Road Commission to obtain public comments.
- c. Prior to September 30, the budget and annual appropriations are legally adopted by the Board of Commissioners.
- d. Formal budget integration is employed as a management control device during the year for the General Operations Fund.
- e. Budgets as presented are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting.
- f. Budgetary control is exercised at the category level.
- g. The budgetary information presented has been amended during the year by an official action of the Board of Commissioners.
- h. All budget appropriations lapse at year end.

2. Excess of Expenditures Over Appropriations

Public Act 2 of 1968, as amended, requires budget amendments as needed to prevent actual expenditures from exceeding those provided for in the budget. For the year ended September 30, 2005, expenditures exceeded appropriations for primary road maintenance by \$139,284 and local road maintenance by \$88,756. These overexpenditures were funded with available fund balance.

NOTE C—DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Interest rate risk. The Road Commission does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

Credit risk. State law limits investments in commercial paper and corporate bonds to the two highest classifications issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations (NRSROs). The Road Commission has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices.

Concentration of credit risk. The Road Commission does not have a concentration of credit risk policy. Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of the Road Commission investment in a single issuer, by diversifying the investment portfolio so that the impact of potential losses from any one type of security or issuer will be minimized.

Custodial credit risk - deposits. In the case of deposits, this is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Road Commission's deposits may not be returned to it. As of September 30, 2005, \$7,169,381 of the Road Commission's bank balance of \$7,269,381 was exposed to custodial credit risk because it was uninsured and uncollateralized.

September 30, 2005

NOTE C—DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS—Continued

Custodial credit risk - investments. The Road Commission does not have a custodial credit risk policy for investments. This is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the Road Commission will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party.

Foreign currency risk. The Road Commission is not authorized to invest in investments which have this type of risk.

NOTE D—CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended September 30, 2005 was as follows:

	Balance October 1, 2004	Additions	Deductions	Balance September 30, 2005
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land and improvements	\$17,705,619	\$ 1,116,095	\$ -	\$18,821,714
Construction in progress	<u>2,557,506</u>	<u>290,767</u>	<u>2,509,177</u>	<u>339,096</u>
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	20,263,125	1,406,862	2,509,177	19,160,810
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings and improvements	3,890,436	5,985	-	3,896,421
Road equipment	8,242,929	457,642	271,767	8,428,804
Other equipment	2,142,705	840,574	82,916	2,900,363
Infrastructure and improvements	<u>49,104,371</u>	<u>5,995,181</u>		<u>55,099,552</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated	63,380,441	7,299,382	354,683	70,325,140
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings and improvements	1,904,764	136,993	-	2,041,757
Road equipment	6,960,884	537,505	271,567	7,226,822
Other equipment	1,505,581	103,558	82,916	1,526,223
Infrastructure and improvements	<u>22,320,194</u>	2,327,830		<u>24,648,024</u>
Total accumulated depreciation	32,691,423	<u>3,105,886</u>	354,483	35,442,826
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	30,689,018	4,193,496	200	34,882,314
Capital assets, net	\$ <u>50,952,143</u>	\$ <u>5,600,358</u>	\$ <u>2,509,377</u>	\$ <u>54,043,124</u>
Depreciation expense was charged to prog	grams of the Ro	ad Commission	as follows:	
Primary road				\$ 1,155,965
Local road				1,858,860
State trunkline				70,847
Administrative				20,214
				\$ <u>3,105,886</u>

September 30, 2005

NOTE E—BOND ISSUANCE COSTS

	Salance ctober 1, 2004	<u>Ac</u>	<u>lditions</u>	<u>Deduc</u>	<u>ctions</u>	Sept	Balance ember 30, 2005
Issuance costs (10 years) Less accumulated amortization	\$ 35,903	\$	(3,590)	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	35,903 (3,590)
Total bond issuance cost, net of accumulated amortization	\$ 35,903	\$	(3,950)	\$		\$	32,313

NOTE F—LONG-TERM DEBT

Summary of Changes in Long-Term Liabilities

Long-term debt at September 30, 2005 consisted of the following:

Malian Tananatain Ful	Balance October 1, 2004	Additions	<u>Deductions</u>	Balance September 30, 2005	Due within one year
Michigan Transportation Fund bond	\$ 2,015,472	\$ -	\$ 202,813	\$ 1,812,659	\$ 200,000
Compensated absences	<u>282,031</u>	337,406	329,475	289,962	190,000
Governmental activities long-term liabilities	\$ <u>2,297,503</u>	\$ <u>337,406</u>	\$ <u>532,288</u>	\$ <u>2,102,621</u>	\$ <u>390,000</u>
\$2,000,000 Michigan Transportati Notes, Series 2004; due in annua of \$200,000 through 2014; inter-	al installments			\$ 1,800,000	
Plus issuance premium				12,659	
Compensated absences				1,812,659 289,962	
				\$ 2,102,621	

The Michigan Transportation Fund bonds are backed by the full faith and credit of the Road Commission.

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for debt outstanding as of September 30, 2005 follows:

Year ending September 30,	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>
2006	\$ 200,000	\$ 63,500
2007	200,000	57,500
2008	200,000	51,500
2009	200,000	45,000
2010	200,000	38,000
2011-2014	800,000	<u>78,500</u>
Total	\$ <u>1,800,000</u>	\$ <u>334,000</u>

September 30, 2005

NOTE G-EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS AND PENSION PLANS

1. Pension Plan

Plan Description. The Road Commission is in an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan with the Municipal Employees Retirement System (MERS). The Plan provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to Plan members and beneficiaries. Act No. 427 of the Public Acts of 1984 of the State of Michigan assigns the authority to establish and amend the benefit provisions of the plans that participate in MERS to the respective employer entities; that authority rests with the Road Commission. A copy of the complete financial report and required supplemental information can be obtained by writing to:

Muskegon County Road Commission 7700 East Apple Avenue Muskegon, MI 49442

Funding Policy. Plan members are not required to contribute to the plan. The Road Commission is required to contribute at an actuarially-determined rate; the current rate is 8.48 to 47.93 percent of annual covered payroll depending on the Plan.

Annual Pension Cost. For the year ended September 30, 2005, the Road Commission's annual pension cost was approximately \$372,000 which was made in accordance with contribution requirements determined by an actuarial valuation of the Plan as of December 31, 2003. The employer contribution rate has been determined using the entry age normal cost funding method. The actuarial assumptions included (a) 8 percent investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), (b) projected salary increases ranging from 0 percent to 4.16 percent, and (c) 4.5 percent per year compounded annually attributable to inflation. The actuarial value of plan assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over a five-year period.

Three-Year Trend Information

Year ending	Approximate Annual Pension <u>Cost (APC)</u>	Percent of APC contributed	Net Pension Obligation
9/30/03	\$200,000	100%	\$ -
9/30/04	303,000	100	-
9/30/05	372,000	100	-

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION Schedule of Funding Progress

(Dollars amounts in thousands)

Actuarial valuation date	Actuarial value of assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	Funded ratio	Covered payroll	UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll
12/31/02	\$16,387	\$17,635	\$(1,248)	93%	\$ 3,743	33%
12/31/03	16,466	18,222	(1,756)	90	3,564	49
12/31/04	16,576	19,176	(2,600)	86	3,583	73

September 30, 2005

NOTE G—EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS AND PENSION PLANS—Continued

2. Deferred Compensation Plan

The Road Commission offers its employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The Plan, available to all full-time Road Commission employees at their option, permits participants to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to participants until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency.

The Plan has created a trust for the exclusive benefit of the Plan's participants and beneficiaries under rules provided in Internal Revenue Code Section 401(f).

NOTE H—OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

In addition to pension benefits, the Road Commission extends medical insurance coverage to retirees. This employer-paid benefit is provided upon the employee completing ten consecutive years of service and meeting other eligibility requirements. Upon that attainment, the employee and eligible dependents, as applicable, are provided with medical insurance substantially equivalent to that provided to existing employees in their classification until they are eligible for federal Medicare coverage. After becoming eligible for Medicare coverage, the Road Commission provides a Medicare supplemental policy with prescription coverage to retirees. The Road Commission has sixty-five individuals, family groups receiving benefits. The benefits are financed by the Road Commission on a pay-as-you-go basis out the General Operations Fund. Current year claims for covered individuals under the self-insurance program were \$214,831.

NOTE I—OTHER INFORMATION

1. Risk Management

The Road Commission is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The Road Commission manages its liability and property risk by participating in Michigan County Road Commission Self-Insurance Pool (MCRCSIP), a trust fund established by the road commissions in the State of Michigan. The MCRCSIP is a public entity risk pool currently operating as a common risk management and insurance program. The Road Commission pays an annual premium to MCRCSIP for its general liability coverage. The MCRCSIP is self-sustaining through member premiums and provides reinsurance through commercial companies for claims in excess of certain limits. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The Road Commission manages its workers' compensation risk by participating in the County Road Association Self-Insurance Fund (CRASIF), a public entity risk pool providing workers' compensation coverage to its participating members. The Road Commission pays an annual premium to CRASIF for its workers' compensation coverage. The CRASIF is self-sustaining through member premiums and provides statutory workers' compensation coverage to its members by internally assuring certain risks and carrying reinsurance through commercial companies. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded insurance overage in any of the past three fiscal years.

September 30, 2005

NOTE I—OTHER INFORMATION—Continued

1. Risk Management—Continued

The Road Commission carries commercial insurance for underground storage tank systems.

The Road Commission also carried commercial coverage for employee health and accident insurance until June 30, 2004. Effective July 1, 2004, the Road Commission began to self-insure for employees' health benefits and accounts for and finances its uninsured risk of loss in the General Operations Fund. Under this program, the Road Commission provides specific coverage for up to a maximum of \$75,000 per covered individual and certain aggregate coverage for the plan year. The Road Commission purchases commercial insurance for claims in excess of coverage provided by the fund and for all other risks of loss. Settled claims have not exceeded the commercial coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The Road Commission operates the self-insurance program on a pay-as-you-go basis. The claims liability of \$33,387 reported in the General Operations Fund at September 30, 2005, is based on the requirements of GASB 10, which requires that a liability for claims be reported if information prior to the issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

Changes in the funds claims liability amount in fiscal year 2005 and 2004 were as follows.

Fiscal		Current year		
year	Balance at	claims and		Balance
ended	beginning	changes in	Claims	at end
September 30,	<u>of year</u>	estimates	<u>payments</u>	of year
2005	\$25,062	\$ 462,481	\$ 454,156	\$33,387
	Ψ23,002 -			
2004	-	71,969	46,907	25,062

2. Contingent Liabilities

Amounts received or receivable from grant agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, principally the federal and state governments. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability to the applicable funds. The amount, if any, of expenditures that may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time, although the Road Commission expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

The Road Commission is a defendant in various lawsuits. Although the outcome of these lawsuits is not presently determinable, in the opinion of the Road Commission's counsel the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Road Commission.

3. Commitments

The Road Commission has various contract agreements for road projects as of September 30, 2005 of approximately \$147,000.

NOTE J—LEASE COMMITMENTS

The Road Commission leases certain road equipment under operating leases. Lease expense for the year ended September 30, 2005 was \$117,048.

Minimum future lease commitments are as follows:

Years ending September 30,	<u>Amount</u>
2006	\$ 115,424
2007	104,560
2008	47,738
2009	32,686
2010	_12,795
	\$ <u>313,203</u>

NOTE K—DESIGNATED FUND BALANCE

The Road Commission designates a portion of its Act 51 revenues realized from a 1996 gasoline tax increase for the purpose of local road improvement programs in the townships. The allocation of funds is based upon road mileage and population within each township.

NOTE L—FEDERAL GRANTS

The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) requires that road commissions report all federal and state grants pertaining to their county. During the year ended September 30, 2005, the federal aid received and expended by the Road Commission was \$1,476,073 for contracted projects and \$0 for negotiated projects. Contracted projects are defined as projects performed by private contractors paid for and administrated by MDOT (they are included in MDOT's single audit). Negotiated projects are projects where the Road Commission administers the grant and either performs the work or contracts it out.

NOTE M—ECONOMIC DEPENDENCY

State of Michigan revenues represent 71 percent of General Operations Fund revenues.



Muskegon County Road Commission

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF REVENUES BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE

General Operations Fund For the year ended September 30, 2005

	Budgeted Original	Actual	Variance with final budget-positive (negative)	
Licenses and permits	Original	Final	Actual	(negative)
Permits	\$ 20,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 60,350	\$ 10,350
Federal aid		.	00,000	10,000
Surface Transportation Program	1,000,000	1,020,000	1,025,566	5,566
Critical Bridge Program	5,000	4,000	4,669	669
Federal D funds	445,000	440,000	445,838	5,838
State aid	,	,	,	-,
Michigan Transportation Fund				
Engineering	10,000	10,000	10,000	_
Snow removal	82,000	85,349	85,349	_
Urban road	999.000	1,340,000	1,341,716	1,716
Allocation	8,000,000	8,061,962	8,101,259	39,297
State Critical Bridge Program	900	850	875	25
Other - salt shed construction	387,000	386,000	386,492	492
Economic development - rural	19,482	27,104	28,145	1,041
Contributions from local units				
Townships	599,000	433,855	390,751	(43,104)
Other	5,000	5,000	5,000	-
Charges for services				
State trunkline				
Maintenance	1,700,000	1,538,000	1,538,306	306
Nonmaintenance	200,000	156,849	185,597	28,748
Salvage sales	1,000	4,500	5,211	711
Other	250,000	331,885	329,890	(1,995)
Interest income	50,000	157,301	162,712	5,411
Other revenue				
Property rentals	4,000	4,000	6,900	2,900
Gain on disposal of capital assets	15,000	20,000	23,682	3,682
Other	10,000_	14,235	18,159	3,924
Total revenues	13,802,382	14,090,890	\$ 14,156,467	\$ 65,577
Fund balance at October 1, 2004	9,223,760	9,223,760		
Total budget	\$ 23,026,142 \$	23,314,650		

Muskegon County Road Commission

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE

General Operations Fund For the year ended September 30, 2005

Variance with

				final budget-	
	Budgete	Budgeted amounts			
	Original	Final	Actual	positive (negative)	
Primary road					
Construction	\$ -	\$ 44,647	\$ 45,670	\$ (1,023)	
Heavy maintenance	5,842,750	4,373,908	4,206,071	167,837	
Maintenance	2,805,000	4,225,714	4,364,998	(139,284)	
Local road					
Construction	-	-	7,747	(7,747)	
Heavy maintenance	1,072,000	745,784	633,378	112,406	
Maintenance	2,821,600	3,254,557	3,343,313	(88,756)	
State trunkline					
Maintenance	1,700,000	1,650,000	1,640,922	9,078	
Nonmaintenance	200,000	231,815	185,597	46,218	
Equipment expenditures (net)					
Direct	1,325,000	1,454,863	1,424,809	30,054	
Indirect	1,040,000	1,063,272	1,056,587	6,685	
Operating	275,000	353,382	341,957	11,425	
Less: Equipment rentals	(2,600,000)	(2,845,321)	(2,844,613)	(708)	
Total equipment expenditures (net)	40,000	26,196	(21,260)	47,456	
Administrative expenditures (net)					
Administrative expenditures	801,200	809,527	680,523	129,004	
Less: Handling charges	(16,500)	(16,500)	(16,083)	(417)	
Overhead - state	(145,000)	(145,000)	(143,098)	(1,902)	
Other	(200)	(200)	(203)	3	
Total administrative expenditures (net)	639,500	647,827	521,139	126,688	
Capital outlay (net)					
Capital outlay	1,648,950	1,330,041	1,304,200	25,841	
Less: Depreciation	(899,800)	(791,373)	(778,056)	(13,317)	
Equipment retirements	(200)	(200)	(201)	1	
Total capital outlay (net)	748,950	538,468	525,943	12,525	
Debt service					
Principal	200,000	200,000	200,000	-	
Interest and fees	81,100	81,083	72,782	8,301	
Total expenditures	16,150,900	16,019,999	\$15,726,300	\$ 293,699	
Fund balance at September 30, 2005	7,653,927	7,653,927			
Total budget	\$ 23,804,827	\$ 23,673,926			



Muskegon County Road Commission ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

General Operations Fund

For the year ended September 30, 2005

	Total	_	Primary Road	_	Local Road	_	County Road Commission
Total operating revenues	\$ 14,156,467	\$	8,607,622	\$	2,988,950	\$	2,559,895
Total expenditures	15,726,300	_	9,657,967	_	3,872,828	_	2,195,505
Excess of revenues over (under) expenditures	(1,569,833)		(1,050,345)		(883,878)		364,390
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) Optional transfers in (out)		_	(348,690)		348,690	_	
Net change in fund balance	(1,569,833)		(1,399,035)		(535,188)		364,390
Fund balance at October 1, 2004	9,223,760	_	6,433,383	_	1,080,089	_	1,710,288
Fund balance at September 30, 2005	\$ 7,653,927	\$_	5,034,348	\$_	544,901	\$_	2,074,678

Muskegon County Road Commission ANALYSIS OF REVENUES

General Operations Fund For the year ended September 30, 2005

		Total	 Primary Road		Local Road	_(County Road Commission
Licenses and permits							
Permits	\$	60,350	\$ -	\$	-	\$	60,350
Federal aid							
Surface Transportation Program		1,025,566	1,025,566		-		-
Critical Bridge Program		4,669	-		4,669		-
Federal D funds		445,838	445,838		-		-
State aid							
Michigan Transportation Fund							
Engineering		10,000	7,312		2,688		-
Snow removal		85,349	62,407		22,942		-
Urban road		1,341,716	984,204		357,512		-
Allocation		8,101,259	5,919,882		2,181,377		-
State Critical Bridge Program		875	-		875		-
Other - salt shed construction		386,492	=		-		386,492
Economic development - rural		28,145	28,145		-		-
Contributions from local units							
Townships		390,751	-		390,751		-
Other		5,000	-		5,000		-
Charges for services							
State trunkline							
Maintenance		1,538,306	-		-		1,538,306
Nonmaintenance		185,597	-		-		185,597
Salvage sales		5,211	-		-		5,211
Other		329,890	-		-		329,890
Interest income		162,712	113,491		19,054		30,167
Other revenue							
Property rentals		6,900	-		-		6,900
Gain on disposal of capital assets		23,682	15,218		1,509		6,955
Other		18,159	 5,559	_	2,573	_	10,027
Total revenues	\$ 1	4,156,467	\$ 8,607,622	\$	2,988,950	\$_	2,559,895

Muskegon County Road Commission ANALYSIS OF EXPENDITURES

General Operations Fund For the year ended September 30, 2005

				D.		т 1		County	
		T-4-1		Primary		Local		Road	
	-	Total	Road		_	Road		Commission	
Primary road									
Construction	\$	45,670	\$	45,670	\$	-	\$	-	
Heavy maintenance		4,206,071		4,206,071		-		-	
Maintenance		4,364,998		4,364,998		-		-	
Local road									
Construction		7,747		-		7,747		-	
Heavy maintenance		633,378		-		633,378		-	
Maintenance		3,343,313		-		2,982,356		360,957	
State trunkline									
Maintenance		1,640,922		-		-		1,640,922	
Nonmaintenance		185,597		-		-		185,597	
Equipment expenditures (net)		(21,260)		(7,981)		(8,062)		(5,217)	
Administrative expenditures (net)		521,139		366,866		154,273		-	
Capital outlay (net)		525,943		409,561		103,136		13,246	
Debt service									
Principal		200,000		200,000		-		-	
Interest and fees	<u>-</u>	72,782	_	72,782	_		_		
Total expenditures	\$	15,726,300	\$_	9,657,967	\$_	3,872,828	\$_	2,195,505	

Muskegon County Road Commission

SINGLE AUDIT OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Financial Report and Independent Auditors' Reports September 30, 2005

CONTENTS

FINANCIAL REPORTS AND INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS	
INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE REPORTS	
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND	
OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL	
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH	2
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	3
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH	
REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM	
AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN	
ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133	5
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	7
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	8
CLIENT DOCUMENTS	
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS	10
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN	11

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

December 14, 2005

Board of County Road Commissioners 7700 East Apple Avenue Muskegon, MI 49442

We have audited the financial statements of Muskegon County Road Commission as of and for the year ended September 30, 2005 and have issued our report thereon dated December 14, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Muskegon County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Muskegon County Road Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Board of County Road Commissioners December 14, 2005 Page 2

Brukley De Long, PLC

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Road Commissioners, management, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

December 14, 2005

Board of County Road Commissioners Muskegon County Road Commission Muskegon, Michigan

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Muskegon County Road Commission with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2005. Muskegon County Road Commission's major federal program is identified in the Summary of Auditors' Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its major federal program is the responsibility of Muskegon County Road Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Muskegon County Road Commission's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Muskegon County Road Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Muskegon County Road Commission's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Muskegon County Road Commission complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2005.

Board of County Road Commissioners December 14, 2005 Page 2

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Muskegon County Road Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Muskegon County Road Commission's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Brukley Ve Long, PLC

We have audited the financial statements of Muskegon County Road Commission as of and for the year ended September 30, 2005 and have issued our report thereon dated December 14, 2005. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of County Road Commissioners, management, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Muskegon County Road Commission SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the year ended September 30, 2005

				Accrued					Accrued	
	D 1 1		Entitlement	(deferred)		Cash or		•	leferred)	
Endowed amountainly and the arrach	Federal		program or	revenue	•	ayments in	Amount of		revenue	
Federal grantor/pass-through	CFDA		award	October 1,		nd received	grant	Sep	tember 30,	NT-4-
grantor/program title	number		amount	 2004		(cash basis) expenditures			2005	Note
U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration										
Passed through Michigan Department of Transportation										
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205									
Proj MG0261 (330) Fed Item EE0128 Contract 02-5230		\$	186,511	\$ -	\$	(624) \$	(624)	\$	-	2, 3
Proj BRO0361 (024) Fed Item RR3727 Contract 04-5019			392,319	-		4,669	4,669		-	2
Proj STP0461 (313) Fed Item YY0239 Contract 04-5310			483,322	-		403	403		-	2
Proj STP0461 (316) Fed Item HH4002 Contract 04-5334			361,500	-		361,500	361,500		-	2
Proj STP0561 (016) Fed Item HH4260 Contract 05-5143			375,000	-		375,000	375,000		-	2
Proj STP0561 (019) Fed Item HH4281 Contract 05-5195			287,015	-		287,015	287,015		-	2
Proj MG0561 (018) Fed Item YY0257 Contract 05-5195		_	462,866	 -	_	448,110	448,110		-	2
TOTAL FEDERAL ASSISTANCE		\$	2,548,533	\$ -	\$	1,476,073 \$	1,476,073	\$	-	

Notes:

- 1. See the notes to the financial statements for significant accounting policies used in preparing this schedule.
- 2. These programs are administered by the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) and federal compliance reports of these programs are included in the single audit of MDOT.
- 3. The Road Commission recorded federal revenue expended in the previous year as an estimate based on data received from MDOT. The actual federal revenue expended on this grant as shown in the final accounting documentation received during the year ended September 30, 2005 was lower than the estimate.

Muskegon County Road Commission SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Year ended September 30, 2005

A. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of the Muskegon County Road Commission.
- 2. *No* reportable conditions in internal control were disclosed by the audit of the financial statements.
- 3. *No* instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of the Muskegon County Road Commission were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. *No* reportable conditions in internal control were disclosed by the audit of the major federal award program.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs for Muskegon County Road Commission expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 6. There were *no* audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133.
- 7. The program tested as a major program was:

<u>Name</u>	CFDA <u>Number</u>
U.S. Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction	20.205

- 8. The threshold for distinguishing Types A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. The Muskegon County Road Commission was not determined to be a low-risk auditee.

B. FINDINGS RELATING TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, WHICH ARE REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

NONE

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS—MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

NONE



7700 East Apple Avenue Muskegon, MI 49442-4999 Telephone: (231) 788-2381 Fax: (231) 7888-5793

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

December 14, 2005

Department of Transportation Washington, D.C.

Joyce a. Whisenant

Muskegon County Road Commission respectfully advises you that there was **no** single audit performed for the year ended September 30, 2004 because a single audit was not required to be performed. Accordingly, a status of prior audit findings is not required.

Sincerely,

Joyce Whisenant

Director of Finance

Muskegon County Road Commission

7700 East Apple Avenue Muskegon, MI 49442-4999 Telephone: (231) 788-2381 Fax: (231) 7888-5793

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

December 14, 2005

Department of Transportation Washington, D.C.

Muskegon County Road Commission respectfully submits the following Corrective Action Plan for the year ended September 30, 2005.

Name and address of independent public accounting firm:

Brickley DeLong, PLC

P.O. Box 999

Muskegon, Michigan 49443

Audit period: September 30, 2005

The findings from the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the year ended September 30, 2005 provided *no* findings in either Section B or Section C. Accordingly, there are *no* matters requiring corrective action as shown below.

B. FINDINGS—FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

COMPLIANCE

There were **no** compliance findings.

REPORTABLE CONDITIONS

There were *no* reportable conditions.

Department of Transportation December 14, 2005 Page 2

C. FINDINGS—MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

COMPLIANCE

There were **no** compliance findings in relation to the major federal award programs.

REPORTABLE CONDITIONS

Joyce a. Whisenant

There were *no* reportable conditions in relation to the major federal award programs.

If the Department of Transportation has questions regarding this plan, please call Joyce Whisenant at (231) 788-7226.

Sincerely,

Joyce Whisenant Director of Finance